

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application and the same are rejected. Claims 1-20 remain in the application and are presented for review and further consideration by the Examiner.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Reisman, U.S. Patent No. 5,694,546. (Examiner's Action, page 3, ¶ 6.

Applicant respectfully disagrees.

Reisman discloses a system for unattended transport between a server and a client. At col. 19, lines 1-11, Reisman discloses a user selecting a transport operation and the system of Reisman executing the transport operation without requiring user intervention. Reisman only discloses transport operations being presented for selection. It is clear from Reisman that the transport operations presented for selection are not frequently used delivery instructions associated with a particular user, as defined by Applicant's claims. Specifically, the transport operations are not transport operations associated with a particular user.

Since Reisman discloses only transport operations being presented and the transport operations are not frequently used delivery instruction associated with a particular user, Reisman does not disclose frequently used delivery instructions associated with a particular user being presented for selection.

In contrast, Applicant's independent claims 1, 8, and 15 include wording frequently used delivery instructions associated with the particular user are presented for selection. Reisman does not disclose any such presentation of frequently used delivery instructions associated with the particular user.

The foregoing arguments are believed to be a complete response to the most recent Examiner's Action.

No new matter has been added.

It is respectfully submitted that there is no claim, teaching, motivation, or suggestion in any of the cited art, alone or in combination, to produce what Applicant claims.

It is further submitted that the application defines patentable subject matter and that the claims are in a condition for allowance. Such allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

Should any issues remain which would preclude the prompt disposition of this case, it is requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned practitioner by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,
Barry D. Kurtz

By 
Mark G. Pannell
Reg. No. 40,761

Date 10/08/2004
(719) 260-7900